



AFI
2800

S&H Form: (01/03)

RECEIVED
MAR 24 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL	Attorney Docket No.	1344.1080	
	Application Number	10/015,616	
	Filing Date	December 17, 2001	
	First Named Inventor	Yuji TOCHIO	
	Group Art Unit	2828	
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	0.00	Examiner Name	Armando Rodriguez

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 01/01/03)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	14	- 20 =	0	X \$ 18.00 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	3	- 3 =	0	X \$ 84.00 =	0.00
Since an Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of <u>March 18, 2003</u> , petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$410); 3 months (\$930); 4 months (\$1,450); 5 months (\$1,970)):					0.00
If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$320)					0.00
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)					0.00
Total of above Calculations =					\$ 0.00
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					
TOTAL FEES DUE =					\$ 0.00

- (1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".
(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".
(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".
(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- ☐ Check enclosed as payment.
- ☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.
- ☒ No payment is enclosed and no charges to the Deposit Account are authorized at this time (unless specifically required to obtain a filing date).

GENERAL AUTHORIZATION

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to:
- | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Deposit Account No. | 19-3935 |
| Deposit Account Name | STAAS & HALSEY LLP |
- ☒ The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Typed Name	John C. Garvey	Reg. No.	28,607
Signature		Date	3-18-03

©2001 Staas & Halsey LLP



Docket No.: 1344.1080

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Yuji TOCHIO

Serial No. 10/015,616

Group Art Unit: 2828

Confirmation No. 7298

Filed: December 17, 2001

Examiner: Armando Rodriguez

For: DRIVER CIRCUIT AND DRIVING METHOD FOR SEMICONDUCTOR LASER

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is in response to the Final Office Action mailed December 18, 2002, and having a period for response set to expire on March 18, 2003. The following amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted.

In the Office Action the Examiner noted that claims 1-14 were pending in the application and the Examiner allowed all claims except for claim 14. Thus, claims 1-14 are pending in the application. The Examiner's rejection is traversed below.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §102

On pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action the Examiner rejected claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. §102 as anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,292,606 to Trimmel. In the rejection, the Examiner relies upon Figures 1 and 2 and column 2 of Trimmel. The Examiner takes the position that the three currents recited in claim 14 correspond to the bias current I_O , the pilot signal current I_S , and the modulation current I_{MOD} illustrated in Figures 1 and 2 of Trimmel.

RECEIVED
MAR 24 2003
TECHNOLOGICAL CENTER
8/Response
3-26-03
A. W. Allen